

①

Mr Collier

The US Attorney thinks that because I didn't address some of his frivolous objections or arguments to my 22SS he thinks that I must agree. He says you shouldn't let people commit perjury so readily by pleading guilty then not. He's trying to twist my words and he's trying to bend the justice system to his ~~will~~ will. If he was doing what the tax payers payed him too he would research his case law. He would know that the US court of appeals for the 10th circuit ruled that 924(C)(3)(B) void for vagueness. In *Salas v. US* on May 4th 2018. Because of the *Climaya* case. Because the Supreme court found 16b void for vagueness that led the 10th circuit to also find 924(C)(3)(B) void for vagueness.

The US Attorney does his argument no good by reminding the court I didn't use or threaten to use the shotgun. I wasn't charged with a bomb. I said nothing about a shotgun in my note. The teller says she ~~saw~~ saw a shotgun in the car or in my lap. I have to wonder was she cowardly to say that to scare me into signing a plea of guilty?

RECEIVED

JUL 30 2018

Clerk, U. S. District Court
Eastern District of Tennessee
At Chattanooga

② (S)

he said I plead guilty. I did plead guilty to laws and ~~the~~ provisions that were found solid and true by the supreme court back in 2009 and 2010. Back when I plead guilty. Now its 2018 and those same laws and provisions that ~~it~~ was constitutional are not now constitutional. that provision 924(C)(3)(B) is void for vagueness

It is despicable and disrespectful for the US Attorney to make me of low education to do all his research for him. I have limited resources and I'm in a lock down facility, and Its very hard to get to the law library. It takes me a while to even understand a little of this case law and its a fact that 924(C)(3)(B) is void for vagueness because of Salas. that is a result of Dimaya and that is in some result of season. I don't have a team of paralegals to do my work for me. like the smug @ US Attorney does. And they still came up with nothing. it even looks lame on paper. he admitted that 924(C)(3)(B) is a provision used to gage what "Could" have happened. There is no real world facts in my case. I was caught in the woods later that day with a shotgun.

(3)

Could she see in my car. did she even see a shotgun. no because to be honest it was under the seat. she said she saw what appears to be a suitcase. meaning she didn't know what it was. It was a army backpack. I'd say it took up most of the passenger seat. so if she couldn't recognize something that was that big how did she recognize the "Sawed off Shotgun" and it was only a 410 and it was small. and it was in my lap. it was under the seat. I didn't need the shotgun. I wasn't trying to rob the bank. But that's what is important to the US Attorney. painting a picture of violence. Whether he has to commit perjury to do it and then accuse me of perjury.

The bottom line is in 924(C)(3)(B) the prosecutor used to be able to make up a bunch of scenarios, were violence or the like could have ensued and he used to be able to compare made up scenarios with real world facts or previous cases that was close or how a crime could have caused harm. And he's still trying to bring a provision back to life that is already dead. 924(C)(3)(B) is void for vagueness.

Notice he never denied that 924(C)(3)(B)

(4)

he can't fight that fact. theres too much guesswork at play in my case. I've come to respect the justice system because without it were would we be. And I love Tennessee and am proud of everything in it as well as the 6th circuit because all parts of Tennessee defines who we are and we are a part of this beautiful country.

This 924(LX3(B) provision was struck down because men of this country with a great sense of fairness decided that it was the right thing to do. Men that believe in justice. You are those men Mr Collier when you agreed with my plea and sentenced me you agreed with that plea under sound laws and provisions back in 2010 Now in 2018 those laws are not sound and constitutional. This happening is not a set back this isn't a loss for the government. This is the chance to strike those laws not weaken them. 924(LX3(B) was a mistake. everyone makes them. Our government and country are only a baby compared to all others. our constitution is only 240 years old. less really Mr Ford's first car wasn't at all perfect.

I think you know what to do here your honor. and please keep our US Attorney Mr Overbey from making us all in the 6th circuit to look stupid.

he thinks his smug and disrespectful attitude makes him look smart and astute. We in Tennessee are represented by him he is "for the people" of Tennessee and I'd hope him to realize fighting for a conviction and fighting for what's right is not always the same thing. I'm sure it's wonderful to be able to say all the convictions he can get to the boys down at the club but a good nights sleep and a feeling of real peace only comes from a job well done with honesty and with doing what you know is right no matter what others think. When I have a bad attitude it's why really because I've not been happy with myself.

When he says your honor should do this or should do that like with the perjury commit or like the commit I repeated of his at my sentencing saying "I think you know what to do here your honor" I only repeated it to bring this up. he's leading you and trying to manipulate your decision. Saying you may not know what the law is.

You Sir didn't become a Judge because someone could attach strings to your arms you are a United States Federal Judge because of your sense of justice, your knowledge and want of search of that knowledge, ~~your right~~ your sense of right and wrong and the use of your own brain.